

United States Patent and Trademark Office

1



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,204	02/08/2002	Adrian J.W. Angell	7728	8045
27752 7	590 09/16/2002	•		
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			EXAMINER	
	IAL PROPERTY DIVISIO L TECHNICAL CENTER	BOYER, CHARLES I		
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	OH 45224		AKI CKII	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/049,204 Applicant(s)

Angell et al

Examiner

Charles Boyer

Art Unit 1751



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address		
Period '	for Reply					
THE !	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If NO (- Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) he application to beco	MONTHS f me ABAND	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 8, 20	002		<u> </u>		
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>17-42</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		<u>-</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 17-42					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	: a) □ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	immary (PT)	O-413) Paper No(s)		
2) 🔲 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3 and 4 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/049204 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Gioffre et al, US 4,592,855.

Gioffre et al teach effervescent compositions having a cleansing action (see abstract). An

example of such a composition is prepared by heating zeolite A in an oven for 1.5 hours to

remove water, subjecting the dried zeolite to carbon dioxide under pressure, and then blending the

carbon dioxide-containing zeolite into a composition comprising sodium lauryl sulfate (cols. 7-8,

examples 1-4). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference

is anticipatory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/049204

Art Unit: 1751

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 17-22, 24-31, and 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Baule FR 891,661.

Baule et al teach a method for absorbing oxygen into aluminosilicates for incorporation into detergents (see entire document). The aluminosilicates are subjected to a gaseous current loaded with oxygen, such that the oxygen will be released during use of the detergent (page 2, claims 1-4). Baule et al do not teach specific method steps of the present claims such as heating the zeolite prior to loading with a gas, however, as one of ordinary skill in the art is aware the zeolite must be dried before it can effectively absorb the gas, such method steps are obvious preparatory steps in the invention of Baule et al.

5. Claims 28-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gioffre et al, US 4,592,855.

Gioffre et al are relied upon as set forth above. Though Gioffre et al do not make specific mention of laundry detergents, the examiner maintains that as example 3 contains at least one builder and one surfactant commonly employed in laundry detergents, the composition of example 3 would inherently clean laundry. Accordingly, the claim limitations are satisfied.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/049204

Art Unit: 1751

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Charles Boyer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2524. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-after-final amendments and (703) 872-9311 for after-final

amendments.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Charles Boyer

September 11, 2002